IT'S BETTER TO KEEP YOUR OPTIONS OPEN THAN YOUR EYES CLOSED: THE ROAD TO BLENDED MEASUREMENT











The Houses of Data



Sudden gains phenomenon (2003) Nearest Neighbour procedures (2005) Shape of early change (2007) Therapist variation (2012) Tracking Responses to Items in Measures (TRIM) (2014)



Sessional measurement led to 98% pre/post outcomes (2017) but took years to optimise







Work-stress to substantial minority (2015/2018)









Data feedback crucial to success of ROM and became influential in policy development Measures only as good as the way they are used (2017)



Burden, interference, unconfident, lacking support & systems

Flawed, uncertain, proximate and sparse (FUPS) data (2016) "In the past I handed in a questionnaire and that's the last I heard about it. You need space to talk your answers through with a therapist"



## How can we get practitioners to engage with measurement?

Nomothetic outcome monitoring in the UK has come a long way but there are still challenges.

Data is more effective when it is owned, used and valued by the practitioners collecting it.

Can measurement ever be blended?

What does the data <u>really</u> tell us?

### Measurement of Variables: Levels of Measurement

Image: Second Scale       Image: Second Scale<	Nominal Scale:	I=Single	z=iviarried	
65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79         Image: Contrast of the second state	Ordinal Scale	1	2	3
atio Scale <u>IIIIII</u> Weight in pounds	nterval Scale		69 70 71 72 73 74	75 76 77 78 79
Dpen	Ratio Scale	01234		
	Open			

Using the Thread of 'Warp & Weft' to Conceptualise Blended

Measurement





# Warp Factor 9!

PATIENT	HEALTH	QUES	TIONNAIRE-9
	(PH	Q-9)	

Over the <u>last 2 weeks</u> , how often have you been bothered by any of the following problems ? (Use "#" to indicate your answer)	Not at all	Sevenal daya	More than half the days	Nearly every day
1. Little interest or pleasure in doing things	0	1	2	3
2. Feeing down, depressed, or hopeless	0		2	3
<ol> <li>Trouble failing or staying asleep, or sleeping too much</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3
4. Feeling fired or having little energy	0	1	2	3
5. Poor appetite or overeating	ð.:	31	2	3
<ol> <li>Feeing bad about yourself — or that you are a failure or have let yourself or your family down</li> </ol>	0	- 31	2	3
<ol> <li>Trouble concentrating on things, such as reading the newspaper or watching television</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3
<ol> <li>Moving or speaking so slowly that other people could have noticed? Or the opposite — being so fidgety or nettees that you have been moving around a lot more than usual</li> </ol>	¢.	- 1	2	3
<ol> <li>Thoughts that you would be better off dead or of hurting yourself in some way</li> </ol>	0	31	2	3
For other open	. <u>.</u> .			<u>_</u>
			Total Score	<u> </u>

work, take care of things at home, or get along with other people?							
Not difficult	Somewhat	Very	Extremely				
Its to	difficult	difficult	difficult				
	171	17	F7				



# What do IAPT outcomes tell us?

In 2015 – 16 nearly 1.3 million referrals were made to IAPT services. Less than half of those finished a course of treatment, and, of those, less than half achieved recovery.

### So what does this <u>really</u> tell us?

http://therapymeetsnumbers.com/how-iapt-lost-threequarters-of-a-million-clients-in-one-year/

# **IAPT BY NUMBERS 2015 - 16**

## 1,299,525

858,896

537,131

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Referrals that had one or more treatment appointments

Referrals that finished course of treatment

Referrals that ended in the period

490,395

Referrals that finished at caseness

226,850



# What do CORE outcomes tell us?

In published studies of primary care therapy outcomes (Stiles et al., 2010)

- ~ 74% of clients show improvement
- ~ 20% show no change
- ~ 5% of clients deteriorate

### But ...

These outcomes are for approximately 1/3 of clients referred and 1/2 of clients starting therapy

So what does this <u>really</u> tell us?



# Warp Factor 10!

	te ID	)/	S Scre R Refe A Asse F First P Pre-t D Durir L Last X Follo Y Follo	rral ssment Therapy S herapy (u ig Therapy Therapy S w up 1 w up 2	Session		
IMPORTANT – PLEASE READ THIS FIRST This form has 10 statements about how you have been OVER THE LAST WEEK. Please read each statement and think how often you felt that way last week. Then tick the box which is closest to this. Please use a dark pen (not pencil) and tick clearly within the boxes.							
Over the last week		Notatall Or	sonethe sonetime	s Otter	Most of the		
1 I have felt tense, anxious or nervou	IS	0	1 2	3	4		
2 I have felt I have someone to turn to	o for support when need	ded 4	3 2	1	0		
3 I have felt able to cope when things	s go wrong	4	3 2	1	0		
4 Talking to people has felt too much	for me	0	1 2	3	4		
5 I have felt panic or terror		0	1 2	3	4		
6 I made plans to end my life		0	1 2	3	4		
7 I have had difficulty getting to sleep	or staying asleep	0	1 2	3	4		
8 I have felt despairing or hopeless		0	1 2	3	4		
9 I have felt unhappy		0	1 2	3	4		
10 Unwanted images or memories ha	ave been distressing me	e 🗌 o 🗌	1 2	3	4		
Tota				1			

Procedure: Add together the item scores, then avide by the number of questions completed to get the mean score, then multiply by 10 together Clinical Score. If fewer than interitars completed, score should only be used very exautiously. Quick method for the CORE-10 (if all items completed): Add together the item scores to get the Clinical Score.

Thank you for your time in completing this questionnaire

© CORE System Trust: http://www.coreims.co.uk/copyright.pdf Supported by www.coreims.co.uk



### Using CORE 10 for sessional measurement to match IAPT

### Still .....

- ~ 57% of clients show improvement
- ~ 37% show no change
- ~ 6% of clients deteriorate

# So, again what does this <u>really</u> tell us?



# Developing measurement as a clinical skill



#### Practitioner Report

### Tracking Responses to Items in Measures as a Means of Increasing Therapeutic Engagement in Clients: A Complementary Clinical Approach to Tracking Outcomes

Simone Cross,\* John Mellor-Clark and James Macdonald CORE Information Management Systems, Rugby, UK

This article presents a novel clinical application of questionnaize feedback, which focuses on change at the individual guestion level rather than the total mean or clinical score level. We term the approach 'Tracking Responses to Items in Measures' (TRIM) and promote the key aims to be (1) providing both client and practitioner with feedback on areas of positive change that may be masked by numerical feedback, (2) minforcing clientstrengths and self-efficacy. (3) exploring potential extra-therapeutic factors that may contribute to the lack of changeor deterioration on individual questions and (4) establishing a collaborative dialogue relating clients' problems to their goals and the consequent aims of treatment. This paper profiles the clinical origins and technical development of TRIM as a clear, user-friendly display of item change across sessions using colour codes and illustrates the clinical utility through two clinical vignettes. Although the profile of the TRIM method herein uses the Clinical Outcomes in Routine Evaluation Outcome Measure, we believe the method could easily be used with other measures. These could include Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7 and Patient Health Question naire 9 used in English National Health Service primary care Improving Access to Psycholog ical Thempies services, or disorder specific measures for particular problems commonly used in National Health Service specialists ervices. We suggest TRIM is a practical complement to existing feedback systems, especially in work with clients who may be less likely to show empirically meaningful change on mean item or clinical score levels. Copyright@ 2014 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

#### Key Practitioner Message:

- Using outcome questionnaires as conversational tools helps practitioners focus on change at the individual item level rather than the numeric level.
- Tracking Responses to Items in Measures helps provide clients and practitioners with feedback on areas
  of positive change that may be masked by summary score analysis.
- Exploring the lack of change or deterioration on particular questions helps practitioners to assess extratherapeutic factors that may be compromising change.
- Using individual item change profiles as feedback for clients helps validate their progress and reinforce their strengths and self-efficacy.

Keywords: Feedback Systems, Measures, Outcomes, Questionnaires, Therapy, Tracking

#### \*Correspondence to: Simore Crose, CORE Information Management Systems, Rugby, UK. E-mail: simore cross@contins.cp.uk

Permission has always been given to separatuse the CORE instruments on paperwithout change provided that they are not changed in any way. From the 1st of January 2015 they have been seleased under the Costine Commons Ambation-No Derivatives 4.0 International licence, see http:// castivecommons.org/licenses/by-ed/4.0/, meaning that the fall text of the measures can be presented in software, again without payment of a licence ise, and again provided that they are not duninged in any way. Although the items can be presented in searce aged online when the score changes are avisewed within the TRM process, the completion of the measures/bythe clear should always present the items in the order in the original form as searce ging the online of items dungs the paydomentics of measures and how the dient sees the items. Using TRM with other measures should always be done having checked their tides not violate opyright.

#### INTRODUCTION

There has been considerable recent interest in the value of questionnaire feedback as a means of providing feedback on client progress to practitioners (Anker, Duncan, & Sparks, 2099; Duncan, 2010; Lambert, 2010; Shimokawa, Lambert, & Smart, 2010). The greatest body of this research has focused on raising practitioners' awareness of clients' risk of treatment failure, and studies in this thadition have demonstrated a 50% increase in positive outcomes and a 50% decrease in those who deteriorate for cases at greater risk of treatment failure (Lambert & Shimokawa, 2011). Many of these studies use a 'signal-alert' system for highlighting significant departures from 'espected' scores at any point in therapy using a

T.R.I.M (Tracking Responses to Items in Measures) Choose Measure: CORE <b>T</b> Select:							
	#	Change	History	Item	27/02/2014	03/03/2014	
Life/	Social	l Function					
C	ose re	elationship					
	1	••	$\sim$	I have felt terribly alone and isolated	Occasionally	Not at all	
	з		$\sim$	I have felt I have someone to turn to for support when needed	Sometimes	Occasionally	
	19		~	I have felt warmth or affection for someone	Sometimes	Not at all	
	26		$\sim$	I have thought I have no friends	Sometimes	Sometimes	
G	enera	l (4 items	)				
1	7			I have felt able to cope when things go wrong	Not at all	Not at all	
	12	<b></b>	$ \land \land$	I have been happy with the things I have done	Often	All the time	
1	21		<u> </u>	I have been able to do most things I needed to	Sometimes	Sometimes	
	32		$\sim\sim$	I have achieved the things I wanted to	Sometimes	Often	
S	Social relationships (4 items)						
	10		$\checkmark$	Talking to people has felt too much for me	Occasionally	Sometimes	
	25		$\sim$	I have felt criticised by other people	Sometimes	Sometimes	
	29		$\sim$	I have been irritable when with other people	Sometimes	Occasionally	
	33		$\sim$	I have felt humiliated or shamed by other people	Occasionally	All the time	
Com	Commonly Experienced Problems or Symptoms (12 items)						
Anxiety (4 items)							
1	2	<b>—</b>		I have felt tense, anxious or nervous	Often	Occasionally	
	11		$\sim$	Tension and anxiety have prevented me from doing important things	Sometimes	Often	
	15	<u> </u>	~~~~	I have felt panic or terror	Often	Sometimes	
	20		$\checkmark$	My problems have been impossible to put to one side	Sometimes	Occasionally	

Copyright ©2014 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.







## Identifying a suitable weft measure for blended measurement

Some argue that nomothetic approaches loses sight of the 'whole person' providing only superficial and group understandings.

Evidence that clinicians prefer individualised measures (Jensen-Doss et al. 2017) and seem to be more sensitive to detecting change (Edbrooke-Childs et al., 2015)

But how does this <u>really</u> work in practice?



## Identifying a suitable weft measure for blended measurement

### **Past Projects**

- PQ (Arts Therapies & OTs)
- GAF (SILC)
- Psychlops (NHS Humber)

### **Current Project**

• NORSE





an MHI technology

## SYNTHESIZING PATIENT EXPERIENCES

Psychetherapy Research, 2017 https://doi.org/10.1080/10503307.2017.1326645

R Routiedge

EMPIRICAL PAPER

Patients' experiences with routine outcome monitoring and clinical feedback systems: A systematic review and synthesis of qualitative empirical literature

STIG MAGNE SOLSTAD<sup>1</sup>, LOUIS GEORGES CASTONGUAY<sup>2</sup>, & CHRISTIAN MOLTU<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiamy, Diaria General Hapital of Forde, Forde, Norway & <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, Poundyania State University, University Park, PA, USA

(Received 17 August 2016; revised 13 March 2017; an epoch 3 April 2017)

#### Abstrac

Rouzine curronse monitoring (ROM) and claical feedback (CP) systems have become important tools for psychological therapies, but these are challenges for their successful imperaturation. Objective: To reversome these challenges, a greater understanding in needo about how parisms experience the use of ROMCF. Method: We conducted a systematic texture of aquitative studies on patient experiences with the use of ROMCF in metal-backs back sources. Results: The findings from 16 studies were synthesized, resulting in four meta-datants: (1) Suspicien towards service possidiers, (2) Flexibility and support to capture complexity. (3) Enzymenting patients, and (4) Developing collaboutive practice. Conclusions: We discuss the implications of these meta-thereas for further sevenes the implications of ROMC C inter clained practice, addrowledging the limitations of our review and suggesting areneas for further sevench.

Systematic review and qualitative meta-analysis of all (16) published paper on patient experiences with ROM/CFS

(1) Suspicion towards the treatment and measurement system

(2) Demand for flexibility and complexity support

(3) Empowerment

(4) Good experiences when use in collaborative practice

Solstad, S.M., Castonguay, L.G., & Moltu, C. (2017). Patients' experiences with routine outcome monitoring (ROM) and clinical feedback systems (CFS): A systematic review and synthesis of qualitative empirical literature. *Psychotherapy Research, Online First.* DOI: 10.1080/10503307.2017.1326645



Moltu, C., Veseth, M., Stefansen, J., Nøtnes, J.C., Binder, P.E., Castonguay, L.G., & Nordberg, S.S. (2018). This is what I need a clinical feedback system to do for me: A qualitative inquiry into therapists' and patients' perspectives. Psychotherapy research. 28 (2), 250-263, DOI: 10.1080/10503307.2016.1189619,



Psychotherapy Research, 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10503307.2016.1189619

EMPIRICAL PAPER

This is what I need a clinical feedback system to do for me: A qualitative inquiry into therapists' and patients' perspectives

CHRISTIAN MOLTU<sup>1</sup>, MARIUS VESETH<sup>2</sup>, JON STEFANSEN<sup>1</sup>, JAN CHRISTIAN NØTNES<sup>3</sup>, ÅSE SKJØLBERG<sup>1</sup>, PER-EINAR BINDER<sup>4</sup>, LOUIS GEORGES CASTONGUAY<sup>5</sup>, & SAMUEL S. NORDBERG<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, District General Hospital of Førde, Førde, Norway, <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, Bergen University College, Bergen, Norway; <sup>3</sup>Division of Mental Health Services, Akershus University Hospital, Lørenskog, Norway; <sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway & <sup>5</sup>Department of Psychology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA

(Received 29 February 2016; revised 26 April 2016; accepted 27 April 2016)

#### Abstract

Routine outcome monitoring and clinical feedback systems (ROM/CFSs) are promising methods of providing naturalistic research data and enhancing mental health care. However, implementation in routine care is challenging, and we need more knowledge about clinicians' and patients' needs from such systems. **Objective:** We aimed to study perspectives of clinicians and patients to explore how ROM/CFS can be helpful and acceptable to them. **Method:** We interviewed 55 participants in focus groups and individual interviews and analyzed the data through rigorous team-based qualitative analyses. **Results:** We report 3 overarching domains: (a) Shared needs, (b) Specific patient needs, and (c) Specific therapist needs. Shared needs, in which perspectives of different stakeholders converge, was the dominant domain in the



# NORSE Recommended Process

Digital Message to Patient – Reminds of Apt. and NORSE Pt. Completes NORSE over digital platform of choice

NORSE scored instantly and feedback report created

Clinician reviews feedback report before apt. Clinician and patient review report at start of apt.



an MHI technology



Print 🗎

Tester Five y



### • Norwegian Research Council

- PhD fellow positions
- Pilots and quality assurance projects

## Ongoing projects:

- Norse predictive analytics from five first sessions N=6000
- Norse in obesity clinics N=1200
- Norse Primary Care N=2000
- Norse in psychotherapy
- Norse for collaborative practice at mental health bed units
- Norse implementation study
- How to bridge mental and somatic health in healthcare
- NORSE/CORE Blending Study



# Norse Feedback

www.norsefeedback.no