THE SUPERVISORY RELATIONSHIP QUESTIONNAIRE (SRQ)

Developed by Marina Palomo (supervised by Helen Beinart)

© 2010 The British Psychological Society

The following statements describe some of the ways a person may feel about his/her supervisor. **To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about your relationship with your supervisor? Please tick the column which matches your opinion most closely.**	**Strongly Disagree**	**Disagree**	**Slightly Disagree**	**Neither Agree nor Disagree**	**Slightly Agree**	**Agree**	**Strongly Agree**
SAFE BASE SUBSCALE							
1. My Supervisor was respectful of my views and ideas	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2. My supervisor and I were equal partners in supervision	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3. My supervisor had a collaborative approach in supervision	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
4. I felt safe in my supervision sessions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
5. My supervisor was non-judgemental in supervision	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
6. My supervisor treated me with respect	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
7. My supervisor was open-minded in supervision	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8. Feedback on my performance from my supervisor felt like criticism	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
9.The advice I received from my supervisor was prescriptive rather than collaborative	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
10. I felt able to discuss my concerns with my supervisor openly	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
11. Supervision felt like an exchange of ideas	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
12. My supervisor gave feedback in a way that felt safe	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
13. My supervisor treated me like an adult	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
14. I was able to be open with my supervisor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
15. I felt if I discussed my feelings openly with my supervisor, I would be negatively evaluated	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Total Safe Base Subscale =							
STRUCTURE SUBSCALE							
16. My supervision sessions took place regularly	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
17. Supervision sessions were structured	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
18. My supervisor made sure that our supervision sessions were kept free from interruptions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
19. Supervision sessions were regularly cut short by my supervisor	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
20. Supervision sessions were focused	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
21. My supervision sessions were disorganised	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
22. My supervision sessions were arranged in advance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
23. My supervisor and I both drew up an agenda for supervision together	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Total Structure Subscale =							
COMMITMENT SUBSCALE							
24. My supervisor was enthusiastic about supervising me	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

25. My supervisor appeared interested in supervising me	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
26. My supervisor appeared uninterested in me	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
27. My supervisor appeared interested in me as a person	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
28. My supervisor appeared to like supervising	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
29. I felt like a burden to my supervisor	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
30. My supervisor was approachable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
31. My supervisor was available to me	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
32. My supervisor paid attention to my spoken feelings and anxieties	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
33. My supervisor appeared interested in my development as a professional	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Total Commitment Subscale =							
REFLECTIVE EDUCATION SUBSCALE							
34. My supervisor drew from a number of theoretical models	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
35.My supervisor drew from a number of theoretical models flexibly	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
36. My supervisor gave me the opportunity to learn about a range of models	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
37. My supervisor encouraged me to reflect on my practice	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
38. My supervisor linked theory and clinical practice well	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
39. My supervisor paid close attention to the process of supervision	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
40. My supervisor acknowledged the power differential between supervisor and supervisee	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
41. My relationship with my supervisor allowed me to learn by experimenting with different therapeutic techniques	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
42. My supervisor paid attention to my unspoken feelings and anxieties	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
43. My supervisor facilitated interesting and informative discussions in supervision	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
44. I learnt a great deal from observing my supervisor	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Total Reflective Education Subscale =							
ROLE MODEL SUBSCALE							
45. My supervisor was knowledgeable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
46. My supervisor was an experienced clinician	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
47. I respected my supervisor's skills	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
48. My supervisor was knowledgeable about the organisational system in which they worked	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
49. Colleagues appeared to respect my supervisor's views	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
50. I respected my supervisor as a professional	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
51. My supervisor gave me practical support	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
52. I respected my supervisor as a clinician	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
53. My supervisor was respectful of clients	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
54. I respected my supervisor as a person	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
55. My supervisor appeared uninterested in his / her clients	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
56. My supervisor treated his / her colleagues with respect	1	2	3	4	5	6	7

FORMATIVE FEEDBACK SUBSCALE							
57. My supervisor gave me helpful negative feedback on my performance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
58. My supervisor was able to balance negative feedback on my performance with praise	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
59. My supervisor gave me positive feedback on my performance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
60. My supervisor's feedback on my performance was constructive	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
61. My supervisor paid attention to my level of competence	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
62. My supervisor helped me identify my own learning needs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
63. My supervisor did not consider the impact of my previous skills and experience on my learning needs	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
64. My supervisor thought about my training needs	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
65. My supervisor gave me regular feedback on my performance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
66. As my skills and confidence grew, my supervisor adapted supervision to take this into account	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
67. My supervisor tailored supervision to my level of competence	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Total Formative Feedback Subscale =							

Scoring Key

	Scored 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 7 (Strongly Agree)
	Reverse Scoring Scored 7 (Strongly Disagree) to 1 (Strongly Agree)

References:
Palomo, M. (2004). Development and validation of a questionnaire measure of the supervisory relationship. Unpublished DClinPsych Thesis, Oxford University.

Palomo, M., Beinart, H. & Cooper, M. (2010). Development and validation of the Supervisory Relationship Questionnaire (SRQ) in UK trainee clinical psychologists. British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 49, 131-149